

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bect 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/627,910	07/25/2003	James E. Stuargaard	GVC.00001US	3459	
7:	590 06/23/2005		EXAMINER		
Richard W. Hoffmann PO Box 70098			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L		
Rochester Hills, MI 48307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1772		

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		Mim
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/627,910	STAARGAARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772	

	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contour (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a contour contour contours. 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
NOTE: <u>See attached sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 at 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	nd 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11,13 and 22-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-21.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See attached sheet. 			ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	F10/30/06 01 F10-1449) Paper N	···(s)	

DETAILED ACTION

Continuation of #3: Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 22 by adding proposed new limitations "one integrally formed" and "so as to permit said plastic component to be fastened with at least one other component", which requires a new search and/or further consideration.

Continuation of #11: The application is not placed in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to proposed claim amendments, which are not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. Specifically, the applicant's arguments drawn to the limitations "one integrally formed" and "so as to permit said plastic component to be fastened with at least one other component" have not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims of record. Applicant's arguments of record are not found persuasive because they rely on the non-entered amendments. Applicant is referred back to the final rejection of record in the paper dated April 8, 2005.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1772

plu pln HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
6/2005